



## **LLG Performance Assessment**

Mityana District

(Vote Code: 568)

<b>LLG Name</b>	<b>LLG Performance Assessment</b>
Banda Subcounty	<b>61%</b>
Bbanda Town Council	<b>1%</b>
Bulera Subcounty	<b>20%</b>
Busunju Town Council	<b>72%</b>
Butayunja Subcounty	<b>57%</b>
Kakindu Subcounty	<b>84%</b>
Kalangalo Subcounty	<b>49%</b>
Kikandwa Subcounty	<b>55%</b>
Maanyi Subcounty	<b>41%</b>
Malangala Subcounty	<b>69%</b>
Namungo Subcounty	<b>54%</b>
Ssekanyonyi Subcounty	<b>64%</b>
Ssekanyonyi Town Council	<b>2%</b>
Zigoti Town Council	<b>0%</b>

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
<b>A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures</b>				
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	Evidence Available.	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	Seen	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	Not seen.	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	Not seen.	2

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	Seen	2
---	---	---	------	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	Not seen.	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	Seen	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	Seen	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	Seen	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	Seen	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	Seen	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	Seen	2
6	<p>Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0</p>	Seen	2

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	<p>LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)</p> <p>Maximum score is 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.</p>	Seen.	1
---	---	--	-------	---

8	<p>Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0</p>	Seen	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.</p>	Seen	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0</p>	Seen	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0</p>	Seen	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	Seen	1

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	Seen.	4
----	--	---	-------	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	Available	1
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	Available	1
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	Available	1
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	Available	3

## E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	Seen	2
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Appraisal files seen	2
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	Seen	2
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Seen	0
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Seen	3

#### **F. Implementation and Execution**

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	Seen	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:  Score 2	Seen	2

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Not seen	0
----	--	---	----------	---

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	ESMPs not seen but promised to work on them.	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	Notice board available.	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	No website but notice board seen.	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Appointment letters and minutes are up-to-dated	1

#### H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Not seen	0
----	---	---	----------	---



21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	Seen	1
22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	Seen	3
<b>I. Primary Health Care Services Management</b>				
23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Not seen	0
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	No minutes seen.	0
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Not seen	0

## **J. Water & Environment Services Management**

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	Done	3
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	Seen	3
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	Minutes of respective committees seen though they see to belong to an other subcounty.	2
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	Not seen	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

#### **L. Production Services Management**

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Not seen	<b>0</b>
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Not seen	<b>0</b>
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Not seen	<b>0</b>

37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Not available	0
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Not available	0

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	new town council	0
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	new town council	0
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	new TC	0

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

## **B. Planning and Budgeting**

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	NEW TC	0
---	--	---	--------	---

8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---



9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
---	--	---	--------	---

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
<b>E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery</b>				
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	NEW TC	0

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0		

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3		
		If 70% -90%: Score 2		
		If less than 70 %: Score 0.		

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	---	--------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	--	--------	---

19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	---	--------	---

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	<p>Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:</p> <p>If all schools (100%) - score 4</p> <p>If 80 – 99% – score 2</p> <p>If 60 to 79% score 1</p> <p>Below 60% score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
----	---	--	--------	---

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### **I. Primary Health Care Services Management**

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### **J. Water & Environment Services Management**

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0		
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0		
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0
	Maximum score is 2	
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0
	Maximum score is 2	

#### K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 2			
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 2			
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 3			

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			



38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	No evidence	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	No profiled disaggregated community data seen.	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	No evidence	2

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>No annual work plan and budget to establish whether the LLG guided on the approved programs/activities</p>	0
---	---	---	---	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence</p>	2
---	---	---	--------------------	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Nothing seen</p>	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	<p>Nothing seen</p>	0

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	Nothing seen	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	No comment	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	No comment	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	No comment	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	No comment	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Nothing seen	0
---	---	--	--------------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence	1
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	No evidence	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	Nothing seen	1

9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	Nothing seen	0
---	--	---	--------------	---

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	No evidence	4
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	Nothing to see	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	Nothing to see	0

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	Nothing to see	0
----	---	---	----------------	---

Maximum score is 6

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	Nothing to see	0
----	---	---	----------------	---

Maximum score is 6

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	Deadline for appraisal was not adhere to	0
----	--	---	--	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Head teacher appraisal reports not seen	0
----	--	---	---	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	heath staff not appraised	0
----	--	--	---------------------------	---

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Not publicised	0
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	No report with recommendations submitted to CAO seen	0

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	No evidence	0
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	No evidence.	0
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	No progression report availed	0

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	No screening forms.	0
----	--	--	---------------------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	No case management books  No FMCS	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	Public notice board available with contacts and name of all Officers.  Baraza meetings seen	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	No appointment letters  No minutes	0

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	No reports or evidence seen	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	Two reports seen for term one and schools were under lock down for term two and three 2021	1



22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	Only 4 reports but without action plans and implementation report.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 2			

29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	Nothing was availed	0
	Maximum score is 2			

**K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:
	Maximum score 2	
	20% in 2022/23	
	30% in 2023/24	
	40% in 2024/25	

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	No data for crop, fisheries and vet. Not even raw data.	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	No reports and evidence from vet sector though crop had some.	0
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	No reports from vet, crop and SAS.	0
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	No reports and evidence from the vet sector. 7 reports missing from crop.	0
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Agricultural extension advisory slip books not seen.</p>	0
----	---	---	---	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>Wards were compliant with WDCs Constitution.</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>Wards of West, Central and North had the data but South missed out on data.</p>	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>South Ward file was missing in all scoring items.</p>	0

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>South Ward file was missing in all scoring items.</p>	0
---	---	---	--	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>South Ward file was missing in all scoring items.</p>	0
---	---	---	--	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Minutes available - Min.8/C/BTC/13/11/2017 - minutes fully signed.</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	<p>No evidence</p>	0

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	Evidence not seen.	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	To be identified later.	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	To be identified and submitted for scoring.	0
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	Prepared and submitted on 26th April, 2022.	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Compliant to DDEG Guidelines.	2
---	---	--	-------------------------------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	Variance is 25% compared to the actual less by 25%.	0
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	Increased from 41 Million to 54 Million, representing 32%.	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	Reflected to 5 Million (Vr.1/11/022)	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No Evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	O & M on maintenance - Vr. 9/5/002	1



9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	<p>publicity done.</p>	1
---	--	---	------------------------	---

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	<p>Submission was made in time.</p>	4
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Reports seen 30/9/2021</p>	1
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Evidence seen - 30/12/2021</p>	1

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	Reports seen - 31/3/2022	1
----	--	--	--------------------------	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	Evidenced - 30/6/2022	3
----	--	--	-----------------------	---

#### E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Staff structure and list seen.</li> <li>- Performance plan seen</li> <li>- Appraisal reports.</li> <li>- Performance files.</li> </ul>	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The Health Centre III In-charges are usually not appraised by Town Clerk.</p>	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	<p>Late submission of appraisal</p>	2

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	staff structure seen  staff list  performance plans seen  means of publication notice board.  attendance register.	3
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	only 2 Staff monthly returns were submitted out of 12 monthly returns.  for monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations.	3

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	LLG annual budget financial system seen.  Investment inventory report seen.	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	AFS seen  payment vouchers seen.	2
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Certification of Completion seen.	3

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	No evidence.	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	Appeal File at the Town Council.  case managment book. availabcle with CDO.	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	implemented.	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Appointment letters for Members of Area Land Committee seen.  Minutes available.	1

#### H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	reports presented and seen.	3
----	---	---	-----------------------------	---

21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	Monitoring reports on schools seen.  minutes of the committee not seen.	2
22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	minutes of School Management Committee meetings not seen.  Action plan not seen.  implementation of reports not seen	0
<b>I. Primary Health Care Services Management</b>				
23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports on activities on awareness seen.	3
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Health Monitoring reports seen.  HUMC minutes presented.	4
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	composition of HUMC not seen  minutes of meeting not seen  action plan not seen.	0

## **J. Water & Environment Services Management**

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	Registration book seen  minutes of physical planning committee seen.  list of the Members seen.	1
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25	Registration book seen  Minutes of physical planning committee seen	1

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	18 new investment which where approved by physical planning committee.	1
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	Named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads.	1
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	Department and annual progress report seen.  LG Organogram seen  LG staff list seen  Annual work plan seen with development control team.	1
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	plan and status report seen.	1
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	evidence seen	1
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	Not seen	0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	evidence seen.  Board of survey report with infrastructure inventory seen.	1
----	---	--	--	---

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	has spent 30%	1
----	---	--	---------------	---

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	production statistics report seen and submitted to LG Production office.	2
----	--	--	--	---

35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	awareness report seen.  Distribution lists for piggery and fertilizers seen.  attendance list for the awareness seen.	2
----	--	---	---	---

36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Monitoring reports seen.  supervision reports seen on the dates of 16/5/2022 and 13/3/2022.	2
----	---	--	---	---



37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	training reports seen  attendance sheet seen.	2
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	filed reports seen.  filled agricultural extension daireis seen.  farmers visist with photo i.e. poutry farm visit in North Ward.	2

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	Evidence available.	2
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	Parish data submitted  evidence available.	2
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	no evidence seen	0

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	No evidence seen	0
---	---	---	------------------	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	no evidence seen	0
---	---	---	------------------	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	LLG approved Development plan not seen	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	No evidence available	0

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Budget conference report available.</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Evidence available.</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>LLG Project Profile seen</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>LLG budget submitted. evidence available.</p>	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>LLC procurement plan submitted. evidence available.</p>	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Guidelines implemented under this section.	2
---	---	--	--	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	annual financial statement seen.  LLG budget approved evidence available.	1
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	done in time and submitted.	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence seen	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	no evidence seen	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	no evidence seen	0

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	no evidence seen	0
	Maximum score 4			

#### D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	submission was made and evidence available.	4
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	evidence available	1
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	evidence seen	1
	Maximum score is 6			

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	not seen	0
----	---	---	----------	---

Maximum score is 6

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	all quarterly reports submitted.	3
----	---	---	----------------------------------	---

Maximum score is 6

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	evidence seen that Staff were appraised	2
----	--	---	---	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	No evidence seen	0
----	--	---	------------------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	No evidence seen	0
----	--	--	------------------	---

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	staff structure available.	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	performcne plans available.	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	staff monthly returns available.	3
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0		

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	evidence available.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	no evidence seen	0
	Maximum score is 2			
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	no evidence seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	ESMP Certificates not seen	0
	Maximum score is 2			

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	evidence available.	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	evidence seen	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	No evidence available.	0

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	no evidence seen	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	done in all the private school in the su-county	4



22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	evidence available.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Done on activities like: 1. Mass Covid - 19 vaccination 2. Mass Polio Campaign 3. water sources.	3
	Maximum score is 3			
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	evidence available	4
	Maximum score is 4			
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Health Unit Management Committee functional and evidence seen.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	no evidence seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	more sensitization required,.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	1. No water committees. 2. community mindsent toward water managmenet committee is weak.	0
	Maximum score is 2			

29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	SAS usually do the monitoring.	2
	Maximum score is 2			

#### K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
	Maximum score 2			
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
	Maximum score 2			
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		
	Maximum score 3			

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Reports seen No fisheries ponds but requisition by 4 times submitted.	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	evidence seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Evidence seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	reports not seen. attendancne list not seen	0
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>evidence seen.</p> <p>diaries phased out.</p>	2
----	---	---	--	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>Evidence available.</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>No evidence seen.</p>	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence seen</p>	0

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	Evidence available.	2
	Maximum score is 6			

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	evidence available.	2
	Maximum score is 6			

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0	Process for the budget exercise if followed well.  with the supporting documents in place.	1
	Maximum score is 6			
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.	evidence available	1
	Maximum score is 6			

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	evidence available.	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	evidence available.	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	evidence seen.	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	evidence seen.	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	no evidence seen	0

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	evidence available	2
---	---	--	--------------------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	all the required documents are available and prepared.	1
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	evidence available	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	available on file.	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	evidence available	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	available	1



9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	available	1
	Maximum score 4			

#### D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	Activity conducted in time	4
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	Delivered in time with all supporting documents.	1
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	Delivered in time with all supporting documents.	1
	Maximum score is 6			

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Delivered in time with all supporting documents.</p>	1
----	--	--	---	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>Delivered in time with all supporting documents.</p>	3
----	--	--	---	---

#### E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>evidence seen</p>	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>evidence seen</p>	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	<p>All staff were appraised. evidence available</p>	2

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	staff performance report available	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	staff attendance register book available.	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	staff monthly return submitted.	3
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	evidence in place.	

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	LLG Budget and LLG Annual Financial statement in place.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:	Evidence seen	2
	Maximum score is 2	Score 2		
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :	evidence seen	3
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3		
		If 70% -90%: Score 2		
		If less than 70 %: Score 0.		

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	evidence seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	No evidence was seen	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	no evidence seen	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	evidence seen	1

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	no evidence seen	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	monitoring reports in place  SMC minutes is available	4

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	Functionality of School Management Committee.  minutes of SMC meetings available.  action plan implemented.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	reports on awareness campaigns and community mobilisation for improved primary Health Centre are available.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	evidence available	4
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Evidence seen  HUMC is functional.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	requests submitted to teh Chief Administrative Officer and District Water Officer	3
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	reports available	3
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No evidence available	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	monitoring reports not seen.	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

#### **L. Production Services Management**

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Evidence seen	2
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	evidence seen	2
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	all available.  no fish ponds.	2
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	evidence available.	2
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Evidence Available.	2

## A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures



1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	Not seen	0
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	Locally collected data was available from each parish	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>Mapping report seen</p> <p>Kalangaalo meeting 30/6/2022 seen</p>	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>Kyamusisi meeting minutes of 20/5/2022 available</p>	2

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Reports from sampled parishes that is Mutetema, kyamusisi and Kiteredde seen</p>	2
---	---	---	---	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	Available	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	Seen	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	Not Seen	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	Not Seen	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	Available	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	Not shown	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	Seen	2
6	<p>Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0</p>	Seen	2

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	<p>LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)</p> <p>Maximum score is 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.</p>	Available	1
---	---	--	-----------	---

8	<p>Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0</p>	Available	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.</p>	Not seen	0
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0</p>	Not seen	0
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0</p>	Not seen	0
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	Not seen	0
<b>D. Financial Management</b>				
10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	seen	4

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	Not available	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	No Evidence	0

## E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	Not available	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Not seen	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	No evidence	0
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Seen	3
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	No staff performance reports	0

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	Available	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:  Score 2	Seen	0

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Not seen	0
----	--	---	----------	---

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Not available	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	Not seen	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	Not seen	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Not seen	0

#### H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Not seen	0
----	---	---	----------	---

21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	Minutes of the committee has one out of three.  monitoring report has one out of three	0
22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	minutes seen.  Action plan not seen  Implementation reports not seen	0

#### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Seen	3
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Health monitoring reports and HUMC minutes seen	4
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	HUMC committee seen  Minutes seen	3

#### J. Water & Environment Services Management



26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No reports seen	0
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No reports seen	0
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	Nothing is seen	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No monitoring report	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

#### **L. Production Services Management**

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Production statistics reports seen but there is noting to show like the date of submission	<b>2</b>
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Awareness reports from different months seen.  Attendance list also seen	<b>2</b>
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Monitoring reports seen  Supervision reports seen on 14/6/2022	<b>2</b>

37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training reports seen  Attendance list for different dates seen	2
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Field reports with pictures seen.  Farmers visit seen with evidence of photos	2

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	18/5/2022 PDU minutes for Nakwaya seen	2
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	All parishes except Luwanga did not have pictures but had data	2
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	Mapping done but awareness meeting evidence not seen	2

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	Available	2
---	--	--	-----------	---

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	Enterprises seen for each parish	2
---	--	--	----------------------------------	---

## **B. Planning and Budgeting**

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0	Available	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.	Available	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	Available	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	Not seen	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	Seen	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence seen	0
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	Submission letter on 20/4/2022 to the register seen	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Seen	2
---	---	--	------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	Not seen	0
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	Not available	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	Not Seen	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	Not seen	0

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	Not seen	0
	Maximum score 4			

#### D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	Not seen	0
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	Not seen	0
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	Not available	0
	Maximum score is 6			



11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	Not available	0
----	---	---	---------------	---

Maximum score is 6

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	Not available	0
----	---	---	---------------	---

Maximum score is 6

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	Not available	0
----	--	---	---------------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Seen	2
----	--	---	------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	Not seen	0
----	--	--	----------	---

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Seen	3
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Seen	3

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	LLG budget seen	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	Vouchers seen	2
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Progress report seen  certificate of completion seen  Annual work plan seen	3

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	AFs seen	2
----	--	--	----------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	Not publicised	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	Not available	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Not seen	0

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports not seen	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	Monitoring reports not seen.  Nothing presented on minutes of the committee	0

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	Nothing presented.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Report on awareness activities and mobilization seen	3
	Maximum score is 3			
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Monitoring reports seen HUMC minutes seen	4
	Maximum score is 4			
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Minutes seen Action plan seen	3
	Maximum score is 3			

#### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No request seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No water and environment monitoring report seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	Nothing was presented	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No monitoring/progress report seen	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Production statistics reports seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Awareness reports seen Attendance list seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Monitoring reports seen for vet and agricultural officers Supervision reports seen for the month of april	2
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training reports seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Field reports seen</p> <p>Farmers visit seen</p>	2
----	---	---	---	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>No comment</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>only 5 parishes were able to compile parish profiles.</p>	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>No CSO mapping report seen</p>	0



3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	No comment	2
---	---	---	------------	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	No comment	2
---	---	---	------------	---

#### **B. Planning and Budgeting**

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	No comment	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	No comment	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	No comment	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	No comments	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	No comments	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	No comments	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	No comment	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	No comment	2
---	---	--	------------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	It was evidenced	1
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	It was evidenced	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	It was evidenced	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	It was evidenced	1

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	It was evidenced	1
	Maximum score 4			

#### D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	It was evidenced	4
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	It was evidenced	1
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	It was evidenced	1
	Maximum score is 6			

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	1
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	Nothing was presented	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	No evidence	0

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	seen however performance increase is 6 but the scoring guide indicates 4.	3
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	seen however performance increase is 6 but the scoring guide indicate	3

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	no evidence	0
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	no evidence	0
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Annual Work plan not seen	0

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	- screening forms and environment report for all projects available.  - no compliance certificate	0
----	--	--	---	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	- there is a system of handling caravans  - PMC's in place  - ALC in place  - Case management book in place	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	- Publicize through baraza meeting.  - public notice board in places	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	- appointment letters available for members.  -minutes for meetings available	1

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	no evidence	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	no evidence	0

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	no evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	no evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	no evidence	0
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	no evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	no request	0
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	no report	0
	Maximum score is 3			



28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	- committees in place, trained  - no action plans  - contributions used to repair bore hole but no evidence	0
----	--	--	---	---

29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	- no report available	0
----	---	--	-----------------------	---

#### K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	- data not consolidated and analyzed. - no data on fisheries	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	-no evidence of attendance lists. - lists on accountability files and could not be accused .	0
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	- monitoring reports on model farmers seen by SAS and extension staff.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	- data not consolidated and analyzed. - no data on fisheries	0
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>- advisory services extension books seen as evidence.</p> <p>- reports on farm visits seen</p>	2
----	---	---	---	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>minutes confirmed and submitted</p> <p>minutes of STPC seen</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>The 2 parishes of Magonga and Kiwawu had the data but Kanyanya missed out on this parameter.</p>	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>NGOs were mapped and report of planning seen</p>	2

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>planned priorities for 2022/2023 available.</p> <p>Annual work plan approved.</p>	2
---	---	---	--	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Facilitation for coordination of PDCs and Monitoring of PCs.</p> <p>Kiwawu Parish, Kyesengeze village Poultry Enterprises.</p>	2
---	---	---	---	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>LG approved annual work plan and budget seen.</p> <p>budget conference held and report seen.</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	<p>Parish priorities submitted.</p>	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Evidence seen.</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>evidence seen.</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>project profiles available</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>LLG register submitted.</p>	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Sub-county procurement plan for 2021/2022 financial year available.</p> <p>availabel and submitted on 8/April, 20221, submission letter signed by Chief Adminsitratve Officer.</p>	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	1. procurement and installation of sub-county sign posts. 2. procurement of sanitizer 3. etc... 4. facilitation of workers on covid - 19.	2
---	---	--	--	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	collected 90.7%	1
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	46%  increament in.9.7million - 11.9 Million	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	used OSR for O&M on compound maintenance and paiting Chairperson's office.	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	guidelines were followed.	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	guidelines were followed.	1

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	publication by use of notice board.	1
	Maximum score 4			

#### D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	submitted on 31/8/2021	4
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	save for PDM the rest were submitted to the Chief Administrative Officer but not on time.	0
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	save for PDM the rest were submitted to the Chief Administrative Officer but not on time.	0
	Maximum score is 6			



11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>save for PDM the rest were submitted to the Chief Administrative Officer but not on time.</p>	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>save for PDM the rest were submitted to the Chief Administrative Officer but not on time.</p>	0

#### E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>staff were appraised.</p> <p>performamnce plan available.</p>	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>no evidence seen</p>	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	<p>No evidence seen</p>	0

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	LLG budget seen	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	LLG Annual Financial Statement seen.  investment not seen.	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	submission of monthly staff return.	3
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	attendance register seen.	

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	implementation of DDEG guidelines.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:	Deviation less than 10%.	2
	Maximum score is 2	Score 2	AFS seen payment vouchers seen.	
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :	Annual work plan seen.	3
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Certificate of completion seen. Budget performance reports seen AFS seen completion 100%	

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	forms available  certificates available.	2
	Maximum score is 2			

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	complaint book  system available though not formal.	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	they used to conduct Baraza  Suggestion Box.	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	No appointment for 2 members.  No minutes.	0

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	no report seen and submitted.	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	monitoring was done and reports seen but some do not show that they monitored in third term because no evidence of stamp to show that they presented the report.	1

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	have 3 schools with SMC minutes with the action plan and implemented reprot.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports are presented and seen	3
	Maximum score is 3			
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Reports are presented and work plan shown.	4
	Maximum score is 4			
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	HUMC are present and the minutes are shown with work plans.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No evidence seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	evidence not seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No evidence on water and sanitation committees.	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No evidence.	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	The production Office at DLG Headquarters should receive reports to confirm submission.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	attendance list and reports seen.  NB. Copies of Attendance lists and reports should be in one file.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	No monitoring conducted on production sector.	0
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Reports seen  attendance list attached.	2
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>seen in the advisory services Extension work book.</p>	2
----	---	---	---	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>It was evidenced</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>It was evidenced</p>	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>It was evidenced</p>	0



3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	It was evidenced	2
---	---	---	------------------	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
---	---	---	-------------	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	It was evidenced	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	It was evidenced	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	It was evidenced	2

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	It was evidenced	2
---	---	--	------------------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	It was evidenced	1
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	It was evidenced	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	It was evidenced	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	It was evidenced	1

9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	It was evidenced	1
---	--	---	------------------	---

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	It was evidenced	4
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	1
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	It was evidenced	1

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	It was evidenced	1
----	---	---	------------------	---

Maximum score is 6

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	It was evidenced	3
----	---	---	------------------	---

Maximum score is 6

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	Deadline not met.	0
----	--	---	-------------------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	No submission seen	0
----	--	---	--------------------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	No appraisal for health staff seen.	0
----	--	--	-------------------------------------	---

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Recommendation should be in form of a report.	3
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Recommendations should be in form of a report.	3

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	No evidence	0
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:  Score 2	No evidence	0
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	No evidence	0

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Environment social screening seen	2
----	--	--	-----------------------------------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	It was evidenced	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	Grievancy handling mechanism not publicised	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Minutes seen and attendance lists	1

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	No evidence	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	2 reports seen.  1st and 2nd quarters not monitored because schools were under lockdown.	2

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	33 minutes seen.  Seen issues raised and action reports on the same.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

#### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports on awareness in place	3
	Maximum score is 3			
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Two reports submitted but not from SAS and no evidence of discussion in the executive meeting.	0
	Maximum score is 4			
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Two sets out of four seen with out action plans or reports.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			



28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No evidence	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No evidence	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Vet data available and summarized.  Crop not available thus a zero.	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Report for vet and evidence available.  Crop sector has a report and attendance list.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Report in place for vet but missing for the crop sector thus a zero	0
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Quarterly reports seen for both crop and vet sector.	2
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Advisory extension workers books seen for both crop and vet sector.</p>	2
----	---	---	--	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>No evidence.</p>	0
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>Data available.</p>	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>Not available and no evidence or awareness.</p>	0

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	No evidence	0
	Maximum score is 6			

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	No evidence	0
	Maximum score is 6			

#### **B. Planning and Budgeting**

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0	Council approval minutes not found.	1
	Maximum score is 6			
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.	No evidence.	0
	Maximum score is 6			

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence yet.	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	Evidenced	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	Project profiles seen and two quoted seen in the note book.	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	Submission letter and date not seen.	0
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	Procurement plan submitted on 14 April 2022.	0

6	<p>Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Evidence of the Constructed patience waiting shed at 1,273,000 seen.</p> <p>Hihg grading and installation of culverts, magala- kireka- Nkuubi road 11,703,746= seen</p>	2
---	--	---	--	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	<p>LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)</p> <p>Maximum score is 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>Actual increased by 10% of the budget.</p>	1
8	<p>Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>Actual increased by 28% from that of the previous year.</p>	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>Evidenced</p>	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0</p>	<p>Increased by 14%.</p>	1
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0</p>	<p>No evidence.</p>	1

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	No evidence.	0
	Maximum score 4			

#### D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	Submitted on the 30/08/2022.	4
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	Evidenced	1
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	Evidenced	1
	Maximum score is 6			



11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	Evidenced	1
----	--	--	-----------	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	Evidenced	3
----	--	--	-----------	---

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	Staff structure seen.	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	Staaf lists seen.	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	Personal files seen.	2

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Staff structure seen.	3
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Staff lists seen  Staff performance reports means of publication seen .  attendance register seen	3

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	LLG budget seen.  DDE grant budget seen.  Annual FS seen.  Inventory report seen.	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	AFS for previous fy seen .  payment vouchers seen.	2
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	Certificate of completion seen  BPR seen  AFs seen	3

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	No evidence	0
----	--	--	-------------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	No evidence	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	No evidence	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	No evidence	0

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports activities and awareness seen.	3
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	No monitoring reports on schools seen.	0

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	Minutes of SMC meetings seen action plan seen.  No implementation report seen.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports on awareness seen.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Health monitoring reports seen.  HUMCs minutes seen.	4
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	All the required things have been seen.	3
	Maximum score is 3			

### J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No request from the LLG seen.	0
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No environment monitoring reports seen	0
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	Nothing was presented	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No report seen.	0

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Production statistics reports seen.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Awareness reports for irrigation and piggery distribution lists for piggery and banana suckers seen	2
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Monitoring reports on irrigation seen. Supervision reports on pasture improvement on coffee demo on 31/12/2021 seen.	2
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training reports on 13/4/2022, 24/03/2022, 15/02/2022 and pasture production 23/6/2022, 02/03/2022, 2/03/2022 seen.	2
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Field reports seen with photos.</p> <p>Farmers visits evidence seen with list of farmer with photos</p>	2
----	---	---	--	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0



3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	NEW TC	0

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	NEW TC	0
---	--	---	--------	---

8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---

9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
---	--	---	--------	---

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
----	--	--	--------	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
----	--	--	--------	---

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	NEW TC	0

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0		

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :	NEEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3		
		If 70% -90%: Score 2		
		If less than 70 %: Score 0.		

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	NEW TC	0

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	NEW	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### **I. Primary Health Care Services Management**

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### **J. Water & Environment Services Management**

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0		
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0		
	Maximum score is 3			



28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0
	Maximum score is 2	
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0
	Maximum score is 2	

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 2			
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 2			
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 3			

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	2
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

#### A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs &amp; CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	TC	0
---	---	---	----	---

## B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
---	---	---	--------	---

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
---	---	--	--------	---

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	NEW TC	0
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	NEW TC	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	NEW TC	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	NEW TC	0

9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	NEW TC	0
---	--	---	--------	---

#### D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0



11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

Maximum score is 6

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

Maximum score is 6

#### **E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	---	--------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	---	--------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	NEW TC	0
----	--	--	--------	---

13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	NEW TC	0
13	Staff duty attendance  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	NEW TC	0

#### F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	NEW TC	0
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	NEW TC	0
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :  If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2  If less than 70 %: Score 0.	NEW TC	0

#### G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	--	--------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	---	--------	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	--	--------	---

19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
----	--	---	--------	---

#### **H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)**

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:  If all schools (100%) - score 4  If 80 – 99% – score 2  If 60 to 79% score 1  Below 60% score 0	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### **I. Primary Health Care Services Management**

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

### **J. Water & Environment Services Management**

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0		
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0		
	Maximum score is 3			

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0
	Maximum score is 2	
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0
	Maximum score is 2	

#### **K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)**

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 2			
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:  20% in 2022/23  30% in 2023/24  40% in 2024/25	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 2			
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score 3			

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	NEW	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan  Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure  Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

#### L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	NEW TC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	NEW NEW	0
	Maximum score is 2			
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	NEW YTC	0
	Maximum score is 2			

38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	NEW TC	0
----	---	---	--------	---